

EXHIBIT L

September 2019 Meeting with Pope Francis





THE COMMON GOOD IN THE DIGITAL AGE



PONTIFICAL COUNCIL
FOR CULTURE



DICASTERY FOR PROMOTING
INTEGRAL HUMAN DEVELOPMENT

VATICAN | 26-28 SEPTEMBER 2019

www.digitalage19.org

Thursday, 26th September

OPENING SESSION

08:00-08:30 Registration

Welcome Greetings

Cardinal PETER A.K. TURKSON Dicastery for Promoting Integral Human Development
and Cardinal GIANFRANCO RAVASI Pontifical Council for Culture

The Common Good: Seeking Shared Values

- Msgr. BRUNO-MARIE DUFÉ Dicastery for Promoting Integral Human Development
- Bishop PAUL TIGHE Pontifical Council for Culture
- Ms. MITCHELL BAKER Mozilla, USA
- Mr. REID HOFFMAN Greylock Partners, USA

Moderator: Dr. ANNA AB RAM Margaret Beaufort Institute of Theology, UK

10:30-11:00 Coffee Break

An Ethics Case

- Mr. BRIAN GREEN Markula Center Santa Clara University, USA
- Mr. JIM WELSH Western Digital, USA
- Mr. GAVIN CORN Facebook, in personal capacity, USA

Moderator: Fr. BRENDAN McGuire Diocese of San Jose, USA

Synthesis: Fr. ERIC SALOBIR OP OPTIC, France

12:30 -14:00 Lunch

Session 2 • PEACEBUILDING, TECHNOLOGY AND THE FUTURE OF WARFARE

- 14:00-15:10 • Dr. ANTONIO MISSIROLI NATO, Belgium
• Dr. NADEZHDA ARBATSOVA Institute for World Economy and International Relations of the Russian Academy of Science, Russian Federation
• Prof. ROBERT GALLUCCI Georgetown University, USA

Moderator: Prof. DOMINIQUE LAMBERT University of Namur, Belgium

Coffee Break

Group Discussion

- Group 1** Peace in the Digital Age
Ms. MARIE DENNIS Pax Christi International, USA
- Group 2** Cybersecurity
Mr. ALFRED BERKELEY Princeton Capital Management, USA
Ms. BARBARA NELSON Western Digital, USA
- Group 3** New Weapons (e.g. LAWS, drones, "super-soldiers")
Prof. JODY WILLIAMS Nobel Peace Prize Laureate and chair of the Nobel Women's Initiative, USA
Mr. STEFANO SALDI Office of the Permanent Observer Mission of the Holy See to the United Nations, Switzerland
- Group 4** Nuclear Weapons
Mrs. SUSI SNYDER PAX, The Netherlands
Ms. ELISABETH MINOR Article 36, UK

Plenary Session

- (a) presentations of the synthesis of each group's discussion
(b) presentation of five key guidelines on each topic

Friday, 27th September

08:45-12:30 Papal Audience (meet outside the Jesuit Curia at 8:45)

12:30-14:00 Lunch

Session 3 • FUTURE OF WORK

- 14:00-15:00 Mr. AZIZ AHMAD UIC Associates, Grameen Solutions, USA
Mr. OLIVER ROETHIG UNI Europe, Belgium
Mr. MALCOLM WRIGHT Diginex, Hong Kong

Moderator: Ms. ANNA BIONDI ILO, Switzerland

15:00-15:30 Coffee Break

Group Discussion

- Group 1** Technology & Unemployment
Mr. CRISTIANO COLOMBARONI Accenture, in personal capacity, Italy
- Group 2** Technology & Governance
Fr. PIERRE MARTINOT-LAGARDE SJ ILO, Switzerland
Mr. PAOLO FOGLIZZO Aggiornamenti Sociali, Italy
- Group 3** Technology & Green (or new) Jobs
Prof. JULIE SULLIVAN St. Thomas University, USA
- Group 4** Technology & Community Integration
Msgr. ANTOINE HEROUARD COMECE, Belgium

Plenary Session

- (a) presentations of the synthesis of each group's discussion
(b) identifying the new key questions and some current best practices (and their respective challenges) on each topic

Saturday, 28th September

Session 4 • FUTURE HORIZONS AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ETHICAL DILEMMAS

- 09:00-10:10 Prof. SHEILA JASANOFF Harvard University, USA
Dr. JOVAN KURBALIĆ DiploFoundation, Switzerland

Moderator: Fr. JAMES KEENAN SJ Boston College, USA

Coffee Break

Group Discussion

- Group 1** Technology and its Effects on Lifestyles and the Common Good
Fr. JULIO MARTINEZ SJ Universidad Pontificia Comillas, Spain
- Group 2** The Ethics of Algorithms and the Challenges for the Common Good
Dr. PAUL TWOMEY The Cybergang Institute, Australia
- Group 3** Blockchain and the Common Good Reimagined
Ms. TIJASA SOBOCAN Digital Innovation Hub, Slovenia
Dr. JOSHUA ELLUL Digital Innovation Authority, Malta
- Group 4** Artificial Intelligence and the Common Good in the Transhuman Age
Dr. NADIA DELICATA University of Malta

Plenary Session

- (a) presentations of the synthesis of each group's discussion
(b) presentation of five key guidelines on each topic

Preliminary Conclusions

Rapporteurs: Msgr. CARLO MARIA POLVANI Pontifical Council for Culture
and Fr. AUGUSTO ZAMPINI Dicastery for Promoting Integral Human Development

Venue:

Aula of the Jesuit Curia,
Borgo Santo Spirito 4,
00193 Rome, Italy
digitalage19@gmail.com



*ADDRESS OF HIS HOLINESS
POPE FRANCIS*

*To the Participants in the Meeting on
“The Common Good in the Digital Age”*

(Friday, 27 September 2019)

Your Eminences,
Dear Brothers and Sisters,

I welcome all of you who are participating in the meeting on the theme of "The Common Good in the Digital Age", sponsored by the Dicastery for Promoting Integral Human Development and the Pontifical Council for Culture. I thank Cardinal Turkson and Cardinal Ravasi for this initiative. The remarkable developments in the field of technology, in particular those dealing with artificial intelligence, raise increasingly significant implications in all areas of human activity. For this reason, open and concrete discussions on this theme are needed now more than ever.

In my Encyclical Letter on care for our common home, I pointed to a fundamental parallelism. The indisputable benefit that humanity will be able to draw from technological progress (cf. *Laudato Si'*, 102) depends on the degree to which the new possibilities at our disposal are employed in an ethical manner (cf. *ibid.*, 105). This correlation requires an adequate development of responsibility and of values alongside the vast technological progress underway.

Otherwise, a dominant paradigm – the "technocratic paradigm" (cf. *ibid.*, 111) – that promises uncontrolled and unlimited progress will be imposed and perhaps will even eliminate other factors of development, with great danger for the whole of humanity. By your work, you have sought

to prevent this and to make concrete the culture of encounter and interdisciplinary dialogue.

Many of you are important experts in various fields of applied science: technology, economics, robotics, sociology, communications and cybersecurity, as well as philosophy, ethics and moral theology. In these areas, you give voice not only to a wide range of competencies but also to different sensibilities and diverse approaches in the face of the problems that arise in the fields of your expertise, from phenomena such as artificial intelligence. I am grateful that you wished to meet each other in an inclusive and fruitful dialogue that helps everyone to learn from one another and does not allow anyone to close themselves off in prearranged methodologies.

The principal objective you have set yourselves is considerably ambitious: to arrive at criteria and fundamental ethical parameters capable of providing guidance in ethical problems that occur with the widespread use of technology. I realize how difficult it must be for you, who represent at the same time the globalization and specialization of knowledge, to define certain essential principles in a language that is acceptable and can be shared among all. Yet do not lose heart in seeking to attain such a goal, focusing also on the ethical value of ongoing transformations connected to the principles established by the Sustainable Development Goals defined by the United Nations. Indeed, the key areas

you are exploring will have an immediate and real impact on the lives of millions of people.

The conviction we share is that humanity faces unprecedented and completely new challenges. New problems require new solutions. Respect for principles and tradition must always be experienced in a form of creative fidelity, not rigid imitation or obsolete reductionism. I consider it commendable, therefore, that you have not been afraid to state both theoretical and practical moral principles, at times even with real precision, so that the ethical challenges examined may be addressed precisely in the context of the *common good*. The common good is a good to which all people aspire, and there is no ethical system worthy of the name that does not see such a good as one of its essential points of reference.

The problems you have been called upon to analyze concern all humanity and require solutions that can be extended to all of humanity.

A good example would be robots in the workplace. On the one hand, they could put an end to certain arduous, risky and repetitive types of work – that emerged, for instance, at the start of the industrial revolution in the nineteenth century – which often cause suffering, boredom and exhaustion. On the other hand, robots could become a purely hyper-efficient tool, used only to increase profits and returns, and could deprive thousands of people of work, putting their dignity at risk.

Another example would be the advantages and risks associated with the use of artificial intelligence seen in debates on major social issues. On the one hand, it is possible to allow greater access to reliable information and thus guarantee the affirmation of correct analyses. On the other hand, it is possible, as never before, to circulate tendentious opinions and false data that could poison public debates and even manipulate the opinions of millions of people, to the point of endangering the very institutions that guarantee peaceful civil coexistence. For this reason, technological development, which we have all witnessed, requires that we reclaim and reinterpret the ethical terms that others have handed down to us.

If technological advancement became the cause of increasingly evident inequalities, it would not be true and real progress. If mankind's so-called technological progress were to become an enemy of the common good, this would lead to an unfortunate regression to a form of barbarism dictated by the law of the strongest. Dear friends, I thank you, therefore, because by your work you are engaged in efforts to promote civilization, whose goal includes the attenuation of economic, educational, technological, social and cultural inequalities.

You have laid a strong ethical foundation for the task of defending the dignity of every human person, convinced that the common good cannot be separated from the specific good of each individual. Your work will continue until no one remains the victim of a system, however advanced and efficient, that fails to

value the intrinsic dignity and contribution of each person.

A better world is possible thanks to technological progress, if this is accompanied by an ethic inspired by a vision of the common good, an ethic of freedom, responsibility and fraternity, capable of fostering the full development of people in relation to others and to the whole of creation.

Dear friends, I thank you for this meeting. I accompany you with my blessing. And I ask you please to pray for me.